Symmetry in the Coplanarity Condition

We can rewrite the triple product without difficulty using

$$t = \mathring{\mathbf{r}}\mathring{\mathbf{d}} \cdot \mathring{\mathbf{q}}\mathring{\ell} = \mathring{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathring{\mathbf{q}}\mathring{\ell}\mathring{\mathbf{d}}^* = \mathring{\mathbf{q}}^*\mathring{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathring{\ell}\mathring{\mathbf{d}}^*.$$
(1)

Noting that $\ell^* = -\ell$ and $\dot{r}^* = -\dot{r}$, since \dot{r} and $\dot{\ell}$ are quaternions with zero scalar parts, we first obtain

$$t = \mathring{\mathbf{r}}\mathring{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \mathring{\mathbf{d}}\mathring{\ell}$$
(2)

We then find by expanding the dot-product for *t* in terms of the scalar and vector components of $\mathring{\mathbf{q}} = (q, \mathbf{q})$ and $\mathring{\mathbf{d}} = (d, \mathbf{d})$:

$$(\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{r}) (\mathbf{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{\ell}) + (\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}) (\mathbf{d} \cdot \boldsymbol{\ell}) + (dq - \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{q}) (\boldsymbol{\ell} \cdot \mathbf{r}) + d [\mathbf{r} \mathbf{q} \boldsymbol{\ell}] + q [\mathbf{r} \mathbf{d} \boldsymbol{\ell}].$$
 (3)
While

$$\mathring{s} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i e_i \,(\mathring{r}_i \,\mathring{d} \,\mathring{\ell}_i^*) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathring{t} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i e_i \,(\mathring{r}_i^* \mathring{q} \,\mathring{\ell}_i). \tag{4}$$

We also still have the three equations

$$\dot{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \delta \dot{\mathbf{q}} = 0, \quad \dot{\mathbf{d}} \cdot \delta \dot{\mathbf{d}} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \delta \dot{\mathbf{d}} + \dot{\mathbf{d}} \cdot \delta \dot{\mathbf{q}} = 0,$$
 (5)

all of which we can shuffled around into matrix form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B & \mathring{q} & 0 & \mathring{d} \\ B^{T} & C & 0 & \mathring{d} & \mathring{q} \\ \mathring{q}^{T} & 0^{T} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0^{T} & \mathring{d}^{T} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathring{d}^{T} & \mathring{q}^{T} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \delta \mathring{d} \\ \delta \mathring{q} \\ \lambda \\ \mu \\ \nu \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} \mathring{s} \\ \mathring{t} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(6)

Note that the upper left 8×8 sub-matrix is the *weighted* sum of flattened dyadic products (as first shown by Žarí, Bārŭk, and Łolaż)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \vec{c}_i \vec{c}_i^{T}, \tag{7}$$

where the eight component vector \vec{c}_i is given by

$$\vec{c}_i = \begin{pmatrix} \mathring{r}_i \mathring{d} \mathring{\ell}_i^* \\ \mathring{r}_i^* \mathring{q} \mathring{\ell}_i \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} \mathring{r}_i \mathring{q} \mathring{\ell}_i \\ \mathring{r}_i \mathring{d} \mathring{\ell}_i \end{pmatrix}.$$
(8)

We conclude that the number of solutions is equal to the number of ways of partitioning the set of variables, namely

$$\binom{n+m-2}{n-1} = \binom{n+m-2}{m-1} = \frac{(n+m-2)!}{(n-1)!(m-1)!}$$
(9)

To implement the numerical solution, take a small step $\delta\lambda$ in λ and solve for the increment δx in

$$\frac{d\mathbf{h}}{d\lambda}\,\delta\lambda + \frac{d\mathbf{h}}{d\mathbf{x}}\,\delta\mathbf{x} = 0,\tag{10}$$

where $J = (d\mathbf{h}/d\mathbf{x})$ is the Jacobian of \mathbf{h} with respect to \mathbf{x} .